IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
APPELLATE SIDE CRIMINAL JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.1181 OF 2006
IN
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.1126 OF 2006

Vitthal Chandu Pujari. ..Applicant.
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra. ..Respondent.

Mr.K.V.Saste, APP.for the Respondent.

CORAM: B.H.MARLAPALLE &
R.S. MOHITE, JJ.
DATE : 1st March, 2007

P.C.

1. This is an application for condonation of delay in filing the Criminal appeal which has been sent through the Jail by the convict who is under going sentence for the offence punishable under Section 302 of the Indian Penal Code in Sessions Case No. 828 of 1999 decided by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Mumbai on 4th of May, 2001.

2. As per the office note, the appeal is beyond time by 5 years and 137 days and hence, this application for condonation of delay.

3. Delay condoned and the application is allowed accordingly.

(R.S. MOHITE, J.) **(B.H. MARLAPALLE, J.)**

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
APPELLATE SIDE CRIMINAL JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.1126 OF 2006

Vitthal Chandu Pujari. ..Appellant.
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra. ..Respondent.

Mr.K.V.Saste, APP.for the Respondent.

CORAM: B.H.MARLAPALLE &
R.S. MOHITE, JJ.
DATE : 1st March, 2007

P.C.

1. Admit.

2. Mr. Saste, the learned A.P.P. appears for the State.

3. The Registrar (Judicial) to appoint an advocate for the appellant from the panel prepared and communicate the same to the appellant in the jail concerned forthwith.

4. Paper book expedited.

(R.S. MOHITE, J.) **(B.H. MARLAPALLE, J.)**